

1
2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
4

5 In re:

Case No. 2:22-cv-01025-CDS

6 Victor M. Covarrubias aka
7 Victor Manuel Covarrubias,

Order Remanding to Bankruptcy Court,
Dismissing Appeal, and Closing Case

8 Alleged Debtor

9 Victor M. Covarrubias aka
10 Victor Manuel Covarrubias,

11 Appellant

12 v.

13 Kelly McGhee-Pane,

14 Appellee

15 Alleged debtor and appellant Victor Covarrubias submits notice of Indicative Ruling of

16 Dismissal of Involuntary Petition after United States Bankruptcy Court Chief Judge August B.

17 Landis issued an indicative ruling under Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 8008(a)(3).

18 ECF No. 6. For the following reasons, I dismiss the pending appeal, remand this matter back to
the bankruptcy court for further proceedings, and close this case.

19 I. Background

20 Covarrubias filed a notice of appeal in the United States District Court for the District of
Nevada seeking relief from an order denying the dismissal of an involuntary Chapter 7

21 bankruptcy petition filed against him by appellee Kelly McGhee-Pane. *See generally* Appeal

22 Notice, ECF No. 1. While the appeal was pending, Covarrubias and McGhee-Pane

23 simultaneously filed motions for summary judgment in bankruptcy court. ECF No. 6-1 at 3.

24 Covarrubias included a request that Judge Landis issue an indicative ruling under Fed. R. Bankr.
P. 8008. ECF No. 6-1 at 3.

1 Subsequent to a hearing on the parties' motions for summary judgment, Judge Landis
2 issued an indicative ruling stating that, if the matter were remanded back to the bankruptcy
3 court, the court would issue orders resolving the parties' motions for summary judgment
4 thereby dismissing the case. *Id.* at 4.

5 **II. Discussion**

6 The filing of a notice of appeal from a decision of the bankruptcy court divests that court
7 of jurisdiction. *Hagel v. Drummond (In re Hagel)*, 184 B.R. 793, 798 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1995). However,
8 the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure authorize the bankruptcy court to provide relief
9 pending appeal by making an indicative ruling. *See generally* Fed. R. Bank. P. 8008. Essentially, the
10 rule authorizes the bankruptcy court and the appellate court to share jurisdiction over the
11 matter. This permits the bankruptcy court, through an indicative ruling, to communicate to the
12 appellate court its intention to provide relief if the appeal is remanded for the purpose of
13 revesting the bankruptcy court with jurisdiction to do so. *See In re Helper*, 2019 WL 2762340, at
14 *3 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. June 28, 2019) (allowing a bankruptcy court to evaluate timely-filed motions
15 for relief where it would otherwise lack decisional authority because an appeal was pending).
16 Here, under Rule 8008, the bankruptcy court issued such an indicative ruling as a component of
17 Covarrubias's motion for summary judgment. Judge Landis stated that, upon remand, he would
18 deny McGhee-Pane's motion for summary judgment and grant Covarrubias's motion for
19 summary judgment. While Covarrubias does not take any position on whether I should dismiss
20 the pending appeal or retain jurisdiction (ECF No. 6 at 3), I remand the matter to the
21 bankruptcy court to promote the "just, speedy and inexpensive determination of [the] case and
22 proceeding." *See* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 1001.

23

24

25

26

1 III. Conclusion

2 For the reasons stated above, I hereby order that this appeal is remanded to the
3 bankruptcy court for the purpose of revesting that court with jurisdiction to consider and rule
4 on the motions for summary judgment.

5 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this case is remanded to the bankruptcy court for further
6 proceedings under Rule 8008 and that this court relinquishes jurisdiction over the case.

7 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the appellant Victor M. Covarrubias's appeal from
8 bankruptcy court [ECF No. 1] is DISMISSED.

9 The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case.

10 DATED: March 6, 2023

11
12
13
14 Cristina D. Silva
United States District Judge
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26